mother's and sister's households, nevertheless hunted and harvested for himself, and often cooked for himself meals of the type ordinarily cooked only by women. 462 463

The forms and characteristics of surpluses, as defined by Harris, [16] shed further light on the structure of the ecology. The technological surplus, defined as the difference between total calories annually produced by any definable group and the calories used to produce them, or as the ratio between these two figures, may be estimated at about 8 or 9 to 1 as compared with Harris's estimated figures of 2:1 for the Sirionó or 33:1 for the Pencuyut Maya. [17] Since as Harris remarks, the degree of social stratification in a large number of cases correlates with the size of the technological surplus, we would scarcely expect to find social stratification among the Yaruro, nor even any significant ranking. Where the technological surplus is low, the producer's surplus, defined as the difference between total calories produced by any given group and the total calories used by the producers for all purposes must also be low. Therefore the number of persons other than producers who can be supported by the producers is also limited. The producers' surplus may provide an index of the degrees of specialization which might be expected. In the case of the Yaruro one would expect no, or very low, specialization. Again, with a low producers' surplus, one would expect to find a greater proportion of the group involved in production than where such surpluses are high, for otherwise there would be insufficient calories to support more than a few people other than the producers. With a low producers' surplus, one would expect, in general, to find a greater proportion of both sexes engaged in the food quest. This is, of course, born out in the case of the Yaruro. Finally, Harris's harvest surplus is defined as the difference between the amount produced at any given moment, especially at seasonal maxima, and the amount consumed at that time. The actual amount consumable is governed by the seasonal *minima*, since the population cannot rise higher than the food supply at minimum periods permits unless special technological features such as storage, are present. Where the harvest surpluses are of non-storable goods, the population level is in equilibrium with the absolute minima of the harvests. The greater the degree of storability through a nonproductive season, or the less marked a crop is by seasonality, the higher the level to which the minimum population can be raised as compared with the number of people supportable at levels of production comparable to those at the time of harvest. Storability, however, is only one dimension of the harvest surplus. Absolute size of the peak surpluses, their regularity over the years, their distribution in space and time in the annual cycle, their utility in raw form, and the proportion of labor needed to harvest them relative to the time allotted for the harvest are all important dimensions of the harvest surplus. Thus, manioc, the Yauro's chief food resource has no clear peak, is regular over the years (the Yaruro disclaim any famines), is storable both in raw form and in prepared forms, is, in the case of the Yaruro, so located as not to permit of a concentration of labor at any one time and needs no massive labor for harvesting because of short harvest periods. Thus, in general, the very nature of manioc as a crop, and the labor requisites for

121 433 182 [56] [463] [462] [262] [251] [241]

16, 17 [For footnotes see p. 43, category 116--Analyst]

harvesting it, require no centralized authority and render it possible and even probable that the management of production or distribution be carried on without it. The same may be said, on the whole, for all the hunting, fishing, and gathering harvests, a notable and probably permanent feature of Yaruro ecology. Perhaps the only crop which has a marked seasonality is corn, but its absolute size in the total production, its geographical distribution in production, and its position relative to all other harvests renders it insignificant as an effective promoter of managerial functions and formal arrangements of social stratification. It is unlikely, given Yaruro ecology that the forms of surplus would change; consequently their concomitants in social structure would remain constant.

On the basis of the formal characteristics of the ecology and of the surpluses one may deduce an essentially egalitarian social organization, with minimal ranking and leadership and with dispersion of the acts of economic distribution among a number of adult males or females any one of whom may produce a small harvest surplus at any time. With a relatively steady and reasonably comfortable total subsistence base, and with ecological arrangements which are spatially repeated in identical form up and down river, no necessity exists for stringent rules of local exogamy as an institution to regulate the labor force by redistributing its members. One would expect frequent marrying in the village according to the convenience of the contractants, and out-marriages to occur either as economic opportunity offered elsewhere or lack of women at home urged one out. The combined biological and productive role of women, with their particularly important technical roles in gathering and in food preparation, suggest sedentary communities and a matrifocal emphasis in the rules of residence. However, the importance and location of the men's economic contribution might be expected to balance this to a degree so that one might deduce male uxorimatrilocal residence but with other, subsidiary patterns. This in fact occurs, occasional male matrilocality or patrilocality [18] comprising the subsidiary residence rules. Political authority would be vested in the community, mainly among its elders, since little or no ranking or stratification is to be expected. In fact, Yaruro socio-political forms, in general, conform to all of these deduced conditions. They represent a model for any society based on the kinds of ecological conditions or form of ecology we have described. Where the ecology is even more restricted the deduced characteristics should be matched even more closely by the observed data. In this respect, the Sirionó, for example, tend to confirm the hypothesis, in that their partial sedentariness which revolves about horticulture is associated with matrifocal social organization: matrilocality and matrilateral cross-cousin marriage. They also possess autonomous local communities. [19] The Apinayé also tend to confirm the hypothesis. [20]

Where our analysis permits us to predict about the course of the ecological conditions, we should also be able to extend this prediction to the social structure. Since, under the given ecology, we can establish a maximum population for each Yaruro socio-cultural unit, and under the given technology we would expect no significant change in the ecology, no significant

[18,19,20 For footnotes see pp. 43-44 category 116 --Analyst]

represent his people to the outside world without consultation and to make arbitrary decisions for them inside the community — mainly in his own interest — was, with the consent of the community, assassinated by one of the members, now in excellent standing in that community as a respected shaman. The chief in question had stepped beyond the bounds designated for his status. In sum, the position of chief, even though formally recognized by Yaruro society and reinforced by formal recognition and appointment by Venezuelan authorities, is feeble as an institution and as a status.[8] It is our hypothesis that ecological conditions of Yaruro life determine the form of the chieftainship in such a way that its stronger institutionalization would be impossible, and we turn to this question now.

III

Yaruro subsistence activities[7] comprise swiddening, gathering, pig herding, hunting and fishing, mentioned in the order of their importance as contributors to the total food supply as measured in calories.[8] Horticulture probably provides 60—70% of the total annual food supply. The horticulture practiced is characteristic of tropical forest slash-and-burn gardens, with a slow rotation of plots in order to permit soil renewal and weed control. Trees are cut, at present with steel axes and formerly, apparently, with axes of bone or shell, and then burnt. Occasional fences are roughly made of the logs to keep out pigs. Bitter manioc (*Manihot utilissima*),[9] in several varieties, is the main crop, though sweet manioc (*Manihot aipi*), also in several varieties, is planted too, as are corn, bananas, sugar cane — each in several varieties — and a number of lesser crops such as melons, squashes, pumpkins, pineapples, sweet potatoes, and yautia or ocumo (*Xanthosoma sagittifolium*). Crops are planted with a digging stick which may also be used in harvesting. Gardens are weeded, once only, early in the growth of the crops. Other than clearing, the only soil preparation is the construction of hills for planting bananas. This is done by burning hills made by a certain species of ants (*huachaquero*). The hills, which are quite wide and low, are filled with organic matter enriching the soil.[10] Harvesting is aided with baskets for carrying the crops. Baskets contain about half a bushel or less. No fertilization, no terracing, no drainage, no irrigation, no extensive turning of the soil is done.

The gathered resources include a number of roots, particularly changuango, changuanito, and guapo (*Maranta arundinacea*);[11] varied fruits, especially that of

[8] Professor Benjamin N. Nelson informs me that in medieval Spain, village communities had an official, also called *capitan*, whose function was to treat with state functionaries representing the world beyond the village community's frontier. It is possible that the Yaruro capitan, and many others in Venezuela, are an acculturative transfer of this office to tribal groups. In the case of the Yaruro, this would have happened some 250—300 years ago.

[7] The use, here, of the terms 'activity', 'tasks', 'techniques', 'tools', 'resources', and 'habitat' corresponds to the definitions given in Leeds, 1960 b.

[8] Cf. Leeds, 1961, which gives this data in detail and describes the habitat and horticulture *in extenso*.

[9] Native terms for these crops are given *ibid.*

[10] *Ibid.*, note 9, contains data on soil values.

[11] Identifications are from Pittier, 1926.

Gathered resources are found near the streams in marshy places where the moriche palm grows, and to a lesser extent in the savannas.[17] The dry season resources are also distributively located though the pattern is somewhat different. Quanta of production from these resources at any one time are rarely great. The larder, as it were, must be filled from a number of sources at once, even though one crop, particularly bitter manioc, and occasionally sweet manioc and corn, may rise briefly to as much as 50 or 60% of the food supply. Thus the available quanta of resources and their distribution at given moments in time are such as to demand a distributive form of labor organization: workers, as individuals or in very small groups, must go off in different directions at the same time. The obverse of this, of course, is that no sizable or permanent working groups are to be expected and none in fact occur. Further, because a dispersion of the labor force and a constant flux in the constitution of work groups is entailed by the relationships between the technology and the habitat, organized and permanent managerial functions can scarcely occur, and no fixed status with significant managerial powers would be expected. The observed data of leadership among the Yaruro and many other tribes in South America and other parts of the world, such as Melanesia, having fundamentally similar ecologies, corresponds precisely with what one is led to expect logically from the form of the ecology.

The absolute size of work groups achievable in the Yaruro habitat is limited not only by the dispersed locations and temporal harvest patterns of resources, but also by the absolute productivity of the ecology. If one calculates the distribution per land area of those calories potentially convertible to human energy, the rate of calories per unit of land surface is so low that even at maximum utilization only a very low population density of about 2 persons per square mile would be possible. If concentrated in the center of an effective walking distance for exploiting resources of, say, six miles radius from a village, with a resultant total area of about 110 square miles, there would be about 220 souls. Of these, perhaps 70% (all persons over age 10), at maximum, might constitute the labor force, i. e. 154 adults and older children. The actual conditions are, of course, much more limiting, since the efficiency of the technology is such that it cannot exploit the totality of calories potentially available for conversion to human energy at any one time.[18] The actually harvested calories are considerably less, though I have no estimates as to how much less. Let us estimate half, or enough to support one person per square mile, that is, 110 persons. With a somewhat smaller per cent of the population available for the labor force, say 60% of the 110, the force would have only about 67 persons at most. This appears to be a reasonable number for the Cinaruco Yaruro groups, although due to depopulation from disease and mas-

[17] *Ibid.*, Map I.

[18] *Ibid.*, Map II.

[19] For example, all the fish available in the streams at a given time cannot be caught. More could be caught with an improved technology, such as the use of fish traps, weirs, or fish poisons, which, as we have said, are absent from the technology of the Cinaruco Yaruro. Density of fish, or any other biotic population, can be estimated (cf. Dice, 1952, Ch. IV), and then compared with rates of harvesting. Furthermore, it should be noted that often the totality of an actually harvested resource may not be available. For example, in

46 acre, the labor force actually observed in "my" group was a little more than 25% of that number, or 18, and neighboring groups were generally still smaller. These 154, 67, and especially the actual 18 workers, even if, at any given moment, *all* were working at subsistence activities, would be distributed over various parts of the 110 square miles of territory under the kind of dispersive habitat and technological conditions described. They are in fact so thinly scattered as to preclude any sort of continuing managerial functions.

V

241 433 A consideration of the forms of the harvest surplus[89] is relevant to our discussion. Harvest surpluses must be considered with respect both to individual subsistence resources and to all subsistence resources taken together. In the annual cycle, there is considerable variation in the amount produced of any given crop, except for sweet and bitter manioc which together constitute perhaps 40% of the annual food supply of the Yaruro. Manioc produces throughout the year. Given that the manioc-growing areas are widely dispersed and that considerable labor is drawn from manioc areas by still more dispersed resources, it follows that most work units for manioc gardens will consist of only one or two men, each having their own swidden or sharing it with one or two others to supply themselves and their immediate families throughout the year. As might be expected, land tenure and land usage patterns are in accord with this inference. Occasionally small oscillations in the manioc crops may produce small harvest surpluses. These, at least nominally, belong to the man in whose swidden they grow and are his for disposal. The quantities, however, are exceedingly small, and present no problems of storage or disposal necessitating more centralized supervision and allocation. Most other resources display a similar pattern though to an even smaller degree. 262 Fish are obtainable, for the Cinaruco Yaruro, all year round with almost equal ease, though the Palmariteños have a more difficult time because of the grassy and muddy waters in the rainy season. Hunting windfalls and large pigs are the only animal sources which present harvest surpluses of any size. However, these are small enough so that they last at most two or three days, and they are so irregular both as to occurrence and as to personnel involved that no regular centralized [251] institutional mode of handling them is entailed. The virtual absence of meat storage

For example, in [171] the Trobriand Islands, in a good year, all the yams harvested cannot be eaten at once, nor can they be stored. Some portion of the crop is then lost by spoilage. We may construct, in terms of calories or other significant food values, two ratios:

[146 464] $\frac{C_h}{C_p}$ $\frac{C_c}{C_h}$

C — Calories
c — consumed
p — potential
h — harvested (= available for use)

The first may be used as a measure of the efficiency of the productive capacity of the technology; the second as a measure of the efficiency of the distributive capacity of the technology.

[89] The technological, producers, and harvest surpluses are defined in Harris, 1958, and implied in Harris, 1959. They are dealt with in detail with respect to the Yaruro in [114] Leeds, 1961. Other relevant discussions of surplus are found Sahlins, 1958; Jacobs and Stern, 1952, Ch VI.

also inhibits any such development because it forces the immediate distribution of most of the meat of the slaughtered pig or captured game. There is no constantly or repeatedly stored supply to be supervised or allocated. In fact swine and windfall game, properly considered, correspond to the small oscillations of the manioc supply and are totally different from the regularly occurring massive incidence of food sources like buffalo herds, salmon runs, and wheat harvests of temperate climates or crab runs in the tropical Orinoco delta of Venezuela.[21] Of all the food resources, the only one with a marked harvest surplus is corn. The significance of even this harvest surplus is reduced by a) the smallness of the quanta involved relative to the total food supply, and b) the fact that there are two corn seasons, one beginning in June, one about the beginning of February. Temporary harvest surpluses of corn may, however, contribute slightly to the enhanced prestige of those individuals who by their own will and work have been most productive.[22] They say with appreciation, "He is a hard worker", but the prestige is relevant only to the sphere in which it is gained. It does not necessarily give him prestige in some other spheres of cultural life, such as; for example, the ceremonial or decision-making activities.

In taking an overall view of the food resources, it will be noted that there are no clear harvest surpluses, and that the oscillations in supply, which are in truth minute and momentary harvest surpluses, are so small and irregular and varied in location as to be insignificant determiners of managerial functions. At most one might expect the harvest surplus of corn to elicit some small allocative function, generally none. Storage, too, in this case, is not a technique requiring administration as with wheat in grain elevators. It would in fact be better to consider this kind of storage as a steady presence of food rather than as storage at all, even though it functions as such.

The extra labor requirements of these small upward oscillations of the harvest surplus are likewise, in general, indistinguishable from regular labor requirements and are easily absorbed by individual workers. Or a worker may take along a child, who goes as much for the fun of being with his father or grandfather, but nevertheless carries back some produce. This type of situation is in sharp contrast with, say, the labor requirements of a large harvest surplus like the wheat crop in the United States which demands a large amount of labor quickly and temporarily. Such a harvest surplus entails a number of organizational problems foreign to the harvest oscillations.

---

[21] Personal communication from Johannes Wilbert who has done extensive fieldwork with the Warrau in the Amacuro Delta of the Orinoco River.

[22] Yaruro individuals differ in productivity not only because of inborn qualities such as strength and native abilities, but also by choice based on tastes. Some do not like to grow corn, others do not like to grow pigs, others still prefer to hunt or trade. The food quanta produced by these several activities vary greatly. The individual may exercise these choices in a cultural setting where any such choice benefits the community. All produce eventually finds its way into the community at large through the distribution mechanism. The person is not acting as an individualist regardless of the interest of others, but at his own optimum, given his unique person, in the community as a whole. Cf Fn 5 *supra*.

4: Leeds E-5 (1958) 1960 SS19 Yaruro SS19

133
137
along the west-to-east flowing rivers in ribbons some kilometers wide. Most significant fauna and flora of economic use occur in these ribbons. This ecological distribution of resources governs subsistence activities whether they be mainly hunting, fishing, collecting, or horticulture.

241
433
Yaruro subsistence comprises all these activities. (2). Horticulture in the rainy season appears considerably to outweigh all other sources of food together, but in the dry season, hunting, fishing, and collecting perhaps equal or outstrip horticulture. In any case, slash and burn gardening of manioc, corn, plantains, and a number of lesser crops is by far the single most important economic activity. The technology is exceedingly simple in all aspects of
[442]
production, the main tools being the machete and digging stick. The most elaborate technology occurs in the conversion of food into edibles and in the manufacture of hammocks, pottery, objects of wood such as canoes, and woven containers of all types.

462
The economic organization displays a sharp division of labor between men's and women's work. Men do all hunting and fishing, make all objects of wood, haul lumber and householding materials, make hammocks, and carry out the heavy labor of gardening. Women do most of the collecting of roots,
[222]
seeds, and fruits. Since collecting contributes a substantial portion of the food supply in summer, woman's contribution, here, is of considerable communal importance. Women's work, a continuous activity from dawn to dusk, is, first, the conversion of raw foodstuffs into edibles. Second, women make all pottery, mats and baskets, the manioc squeezers, and much of the cord used to make hammocks. Another important contribution of women is their help in harvesting in the gardens. Men sometimes help the women with the cookery.

[476]
Men may work alone, in groups of 2 or 3, or in larger groups on rare occasions. No occasion exists for all men to work at a single task since there is no technological need for it. Women's work groups for collecting or household-
[592]
ing are of similar size. Work group alignments are often based on choice or accident but in other instances coresidence and kinship are determinants. The household, however, constitutes the only really necessary cooperative group for production. The man is a funnel for produce which the woman converts to

114
[122]
(2) The present description applies to the Cinaruco River Yaruro where the major part of the writer's field work, supported by a grant from the Social Science Research Council, was done. The Capanaparo River Yaruro were reported by Petrullo (1939) and Le Besnerais (1948) as being hunters and gatherers, although Le Besnerais observed small horticultural patches which he claimed were diffusions from Venezuelan farmers which had occurred recently. Le Besnerais in 1954 states directly that they were horticulturalists, omitting any mention of possible diffusions from Venezuelans. The passing mention of horticulture by Fiasson (1947) confirms the present writer in his opinion that, although horticulture may be more restricted on the Capanaparo than on the Cinaruco and Cunaviche-Arauca (where the writer also spent several weeks) which are not discussed at all by Petrullo or Le Besnerais, nevertheless, the absence of horticulture is probably not aboriginal with the Yaruro as has been suggested by Kirchhoff (1948, p. 456). Rather the restricted gardening is a result of ecological conditions on the Capanaparo which are less favorable to horticulture than on the neighboring rivers, combined with extremely violent acculturation, including large-scale massacres of natives. The only other possibility, in my opinion, is that horticulture was introduced by the missionaries in the early part of the
[797
177]
Eighteenth Century, which is certainly the period when the Yaruro first became acquainted with iron tools (cf Lodares, 1922).

4: Leeds E-5 (1958) 1960 SS19 Yaruro SS19

462

make it usable. The need to eat makes woman's work more or less continuous, while the nature of production does not make man's work continuous. As long as there is food in the village, the man may rest, help his wife, or engage in non-food production such as canoe-making, as he chooses. [461]

Objects used by men are men's property and are inherited by the men; objects used by women are women's property and are inherited in the women's line. Immovable property such as gardens and houses are said to be inherited by the decedent's children of both sexes. Male animals such as boars and dogs, are owned by men, the females by women. Thus the division of property is as sharp as the division of labor, a fact reflected throughout the ideology. 428 [422] [231]

No two producers produce the same quantity or inventory of goods, especially as each has great freedom to concentrate in the productive activities he prefers to the exclusion of others. Yet each producer contributes to household and community in what is felt to be equivalent value since most foods are considered good and of equal worth. Each household producing unit distributes supplies of raw or cooked foods to other households along the lines of coresidence and kinship. In this way, all villagers, as consumers, receive the same total amount of food and no one goes without. Thus all persons and households in the community are equivalent as producers, distributers, and consumers. All are tied together in a loosely formalized network of coordinate reciprocal exchanges and obligations in which scales of value are not necessary, since each person contributes approximately equally of all values. In this situation, accumulation of wealth by individuals is out of the question and management of production or of wealth distribution is unnecessary. Consequently, there are no economic status differentiations and no effective leadership among the Yaruro. 433 ● 592 621 [435] [556] [554] [622]

Similarly, no purely social differentiations based on status ranking occur in Yaruro society, except by casual deference to those of age and experience like shamans and village spokesmen, called 'capitans'. The status of women is coordinate with that of men. The complementary and coordinate units, a man and a woman, combine into a series of coordinate but semi-autonomous units, the households. A group of these constitute the Yaruro social unit, the neither endogamous nor exogamous (Murdock's "agamous") village. All these units are tied together by links of bilaterally traced kinship, with coordinate relations on both sides, the ultimate tie being the reciprocal marriage of cross-cousins. Marriage is ideally matrilocal, and occurs most frequently within the village. As a consequence, the most immediate kinship ties in the village are matrilateral, whereas kinship among the men is more diffuse. The villages themselves are tied together by kinship ties, real or imputed, as well as by marriage and visiting, but by no other ties of an all-tribal nature. People who are known to any particular village —to 'us'— are considered part of our village's family, since their kinship ties to our members can be discovered or invented freely. Persons recognized as being of our linguistic and cultural stock will be included under the general term *pumé*, but may not be considered 'of our family' unless the kinship ties are recognized. Thus there is a Yaruro people, but no Yaruro tribal unit. Even the persons included in 'our family' do not constitute a unit, since there are no cross village unifying institutions other than real or imputed bilateral kinship ties. Even these vary from 554 621 [562] [592] 621 [612] [602] [619] [612]